

ISSN 2518-170X (Online)

ISSN 2224-5278 (Print)



«ҚАЗАҚСТАН РЕСПУБЛИКАСЫ
ҰЛТТЫҚ ҒЫЛЫМ
АКАДЕМИЯСЫ» РҚБ

«ҚАЗАҚСТАН РЕСПУБЛИКАСЫ
ҰЛТТЫҚ ҒЫЛЫМ АКАДЕМИЯСЫ» РҚБ

Х А Б А Р Л А Р Ы

ИЗВЕСТИЯ

РОО «НАЦИОНАЛЬНОЙ
АКАДЕМИИ НАУК
РЕСПУБЛИКИ КАЗАХСТАН»

N E W S

OF THE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
OF THE REPUBLIC OF
KAZAKHSTAN

SERIES

OF GEOLOGY AND TECHNICAL SCIENCES

4 (465)

JULY – AUGUST 2024

THE JOURNAL WAS FOUNDED IN 1940

PUBLISHED 6 TIMES A YEAR

ALMATY, NAS RK

NAS RK is pleased to announce that News of NAS RK. Series of geology and technical sciences scientific journal has been accepted for indexing in the Emerging Sources Citation Index, a new edition of Web of Science. Content in this index is under consideration by Clarivate Analytics to be accepted in the Science Citation Index Expanded, the Social Sciences Citation Index, and the Arts & Humanities Citation Index. The quality and depth of content Web of Science offers to researchers, authors, publishers, and institutions sets it apart from other research databases. The inclusion of News of NAS RK. Series of geology and technical sciences in the Emerging Sources Citation Index demonstrates our dedication to providing the most relevant and influential content of geology and engineering sciences to our community.

Қазақстан Республикасы Ұлттық ғылым академиясы «ҚР ҰҒА Хабарлары. Геология және техникалық ғылымдар сериясы» ғылыми журналының Web of Science-тің жаңаланған нұсқасы Emerging Sources Citation Index-те индекстелуге қабылданғанын хабарлайды. Бұл индекстелу барысында Clarivate Analytics компаниясы журналды одан әрі the Science Citation Index Expanded, the Social Sciences Citation Index және the Arts & Humanities Citation Index-ке қабылдау мәселесін қарастыруда. Web of Science зерттеушілер, авторлар, баспашылар мен мекемелерге контент тереңдігі мен сапасын ұсынады. ҚР ҰҒА Хабарлары. Геология және техникалық ғылымдар сериясы Emerging Sources Citation Index-ке енуі біздің қоғамдастық үшін ең өзекті және беделді геология және техникалық ғылымдар бойынша контентке адалдығымызды білдіреді.

НАНПК сообщает, что научный журнал «Известия НАНПК. Серия геологии и технических наук» был принят для индексирования в Emerging Sources Citation Index, обновленной версии Web of Science. Содержание в этом индексировании находится в стадии рассмотрения компанией Clarivate Analytics для дальнейшего принятия журнала в the Science Citation Index Expanded, the Social Sciences Citation Index и the Arts & Humanities Citation Index. Web of Science предлагает качество и глубину контента для исследователей, авторов, издателей и учреждений. Включение Известия НАНПК. Серия геологии и технических наук в Emerging Sources Citation Index демонстрирует нашу приверженность к наиболее актуальному и влиятельному контенту по геологии и техническим наукам для нашего сообщества.

Бас редактор

ЖҰРЫНОВ Мұрат Жұрынұлы, химия ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі, «Қазақстан Республикасы Ұлттық ғылым академиясы» РҚБ-нің президенті, АҚ «Д.В. Сокольский атындағы отын, катализ және электрохимия институтының» бас директоры (Алматы, Қазақстан) **Н = 4**

Ғылыми хатшы

АБСАДЫКОВ Бахыт Нарикбайұлы, техника ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА жауапты хатшысы, А.Б. Бектұров атындағы химия ғылымдары институты (Алматы, Қазақстан) **Н = 5**

Редакциялық алқа:

ӘБСАМЕТОВ Мәліс Құдысұлы (бас редактордың орынбасары), геология-минералогия ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі, «У.М. Ахмедсафина атындағы гидрогеология және геоэкология институтының» директоры (Алматы, Қазақстан) **Н = 2**

ЖОЛТАЕВ Герой Жолтайұлы (бас редактордың орынбасары), геология-минералогия ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Қ.И. Сатпаев атындағы геология ғылымдары институтының директоры (Алматы, Қазақстан) **Н = 2**

СНОУ Дэниел, Ph.D, қауымдастырылған профессор, Небраска университетінің Су ғылымдары зертханасының директоры (Небраска штаты, АҚШ) **Н = 32**

ЗЕЛЬТМАН Реймар, Ph.D, табиғи тарих мұражайының Жер туралы ғылымдар бөлімінде петрология және пайдалы қазбалар кен орындары саласындағы зерттеулердің жетекшісі (Лондон, Англия) **Н = 37**

ПАНФИЛОВ Михаил Борисович, техника ғылымдарының докторы, Нанси университетінің профессоры (Нанси, Франция) **Н = 15**

ШЕН Пин, Ph.D, Қытай геологиялық қоғамының тау геологиясы комитеті директорының орынбасары, Американдық экономикалық геологтар қауымдастығының мүшесі (Пекин, Қытай) **Н = 25**

ФИШЕР Аксель, Ph.D, Дрезден техникалық университетінің қауымдастырылған профессоры (Дрезден, Берлин) **Н = 6**

КОНТОРОВИЧ Алексей Эмильевич, геология-минералогия ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, РФА академигі, А.А. Трофимука атындағы мұнай-газ геологиясы және геофизика институты (Новосибирск, Ресей) **Н = 19**

АГАБЕКОВ Владимир Енокович, химия ғылымдарының докторы, Беларусь ҰҒА академигі, Жаңа материалдар химиясы институтының құрметті директоры (Минск, Беларусь) **Н = 13**

КАТАЛИН Стефан, Ph.D, Дрезден техникалық университетінің қауымдастырылған профессоры (Дрезден, Берлин) **Н = 20**

СЕЙТМҰРАТОВА Элеонора Юсуповна, геология-минералогия ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА корреспондент-мүшесі, Қ.И. Сатпаев атындағы Геология ғылымдары институты зертханасының меңгерушісі (Алматы, Қазақстан) **Н = 11**

САҒЫНТАЕВ Жанай, Ph.D, қауымдастырылған профессор, Назарбаев университеті (Нұр-Сұлтан, Қазақстан) **Н = 11**

ФРАТТИНИ Паоло, Ph.D, Бикокк Милан университеті қауымдастырылған профессоры (Милан, Италия) **Н = 28**

«ҚР ҰҒА» РҚБ Хабарлары. Геология және техникалық ғылымдар сериясы».

ISSN 2518-170X (Online),

ISSN 2224-5278 (Print)

Меншіктеуші: «Қазақстан Республикасының Ұлттық ғылым академиясы» РҚБ (Алматы қ.).

Қазақстан Республикасының Ақпарат және қоғамдық даму министрлігінің Ақпарат комитетінде 29.07.2020 ж. берілген № **KZ39VPY00025420** мерзімдік басылым тіркеуіне қойылу туралы куәлік.

Тақырыптық бағыты: *геология, мұнай және газды өңдеудің химиялық технологиялары, мұнай химиясы, металдарды алу және олардың қосындыларының технологиясы.*

Мерзімділігі: жылына 6 рет.

Тиражы: 300 дана.

Редакцияның мекен-жайы: 050010, Алматы қ., Шевченко көш., 28, 219 бөл., тел.: 272-13-19

<http://www.geolog-technical.kz/index.php/en/>

© «Қазақстан Республикасының Ұлттық ғылым академиясы» РҚБ, 2024

Главный редактор

ЖУРИНОВ Мурат Журинович, доктор химических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, президент РОО «Национальной академии наук Республики Казахстан», генеральный директор АО «Институт топлива, катализа и электрохимии им. Д.В. Сокольского» (Алматы, Казахстан) **Н = 4**

Ученый секретарь

АБСАДЫКОВ Бахыт Нарикбаевич, доктор технических наук, профессор, ответственный секретарь НАН РК, Институт химических наук им. А.Б. Бектурова (Алматы, Казахстан) **Н = 5**

Редакционная коллегия:

АБСАМЕТОВ Малис Кудысович, (заместитель главного редактора), доктор геологоминералогических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, директор Института гидрогеологии и геоэкологии им. У.М. Ахмедсафина (Алматы, Казахстан) **Н = 2**

ЖОЛТАЕВ Герой Жолтаевич, (заместитель главного редактора), доктор геологоминералогических наук, профессор, директор Института геологических наук им. К.И. Сатпаева (Алматы, Казахстан) **Н=2**

СНОУ Дэниел, Ph.D, ассоциированный профессор, директор Лаборатории водных наук университета Небраски (штат Небраска, США) **Н = 32**

ЗЕЛЬТМАН Реймар, Ph.D, руководитель исследований в области петрологии и месторождений полезных ископаемых в Отделе наук о Земле Музея естественной истории (Лондон, Англия) **Н = 37**

ПАНФИЛОВ Михаил Борисович, доктор технических наук, профессор Университета Нанси (Нанси, Франция) **Н=15**

ШЕН Пин, Ph.D, заместитель директора Комитета по горной геологии Китайского геологического общества, член Американской ассоциации экономических геологов (Пекин, Китай) **Н = 25**

ФИШЕР Аксель, ассоциированный профессор, Ph.D, технический университет Дрезден (Дрезден, Берлин) **Н = 6**

КОНТОРОВИЧ Алексей Эмильевич, доктор геолого-минералогических наук, профессор, академик РАН, Институт нефтегазовой геологии и геофизики им. А.А. Трофимука СО РАН (Новосибирск, Россия) **Н = 19**

АГАБЕКОВ Владимир Енокович, доктор химических наук, академик НАН Беларуси, почетный директор Института химии новых материалов (Минск, Беларусь) **Н = 13**

КАТАЛИН Стефан, Ph.D, ассоциированный профессор, Технический университет (Дрезден, Берлин) **Н = 20**

СЕЙТМУРАТОВА Элеонора Юсуповна, доктор геолого-минералогических наук, профессор, член-корреспондент НАН РК, заведующая лабораторией Института геологических наук им. К.И. Сатпаева (Алматы, Казахстан) **Н=11**

САГИНТАЕВ Жанай, Ph.D, ассоциированный профессор, Назарбаев университет (Нурсултан, Казахстан) **Н = 11**

ФРАТТИНИ Паоло, Ph.D, ассоциированный профессор, Миланский университет Бикокк (Милан, Италия) **Н = 28**

«Известия РОО «НАН РК». Серия геологии и технических наук».

ISSN 2518-170X (Online),

ISSN 2224-5278 (Print)

Собственник: Республиканское общественное объединение «Национальная академия наук Республики Казахстан» (г. Алматы).

Свидетельство о постановке на учет периодического печатного издания в Комитете информации Министерства информации и общественного развития Республики Казахстан № **KZ39VPY00025420**, выданное 29.07.2020 г.

Тематическая направленность: *геология, химические технологии переработки нефти и газа, нефтехимия, технологии извлечения металлов и их соединений.*

Периодичность: 6 раз в год.

Тираж: 300 экземпляров.

Адрес редакции: 050010, г. Алматы, ул. Шевченко, 28, оф. 219, тел.: 272-13-19

<http://www.geolog-technical.kz/index.php/en/>

© РОО «Национальная академия наук Республики Казахстан», 2024

Editorial chief

ZHURINOV Murat Zhurinovich, doctor of chemistry, professor, academician of NAS RK, president of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, general director of JSC “Institute of fuel, catalysis and electrochemistry named after D.V. Sokolsky» (Almaty, Kazakhstan) **H = 4**

Scientific secretary

ABSADYKOV Bakhyt Narikbaevich, doctor of technical sciences, professor, executive secretary of NAS RK, Bekturov Institute of chemical sciences (Almaty, Kazakhstan) **H = 5**

Editorial board:

ABSAMETOV Malis Kudysovich, (deputy editor-in-chief), doctor of geological and mineralogical sciences, professor, academician of NAS RK, director of the Akhmedsafin Institute of hydrogeology and hydrophysics (Almaty, Kazakhstan) **H=2**

ZHOLTAEV Geroy Zholtaevich, (deputy editor-in-chief), doctor of geological and mineralogical sciences, professor, director of the institute of geological sciences named after K.I. Satpayev (Almaty, Kazakhstan) **H=2**

SNOW Daniel, Ph.D, associate professor, director of the laboratory of water sciences, Nebraska University (Nebraska, USA) **H = 32**

ZELTMAN Reyman, Ph.D, head of research department in petrology and mineral deposits in the Earth sciences section of the museum of natural history (London, England) **H = 37**

PANFILOV Mikhail Borisovich, doctor of technical sciences, professor at the Nancy University (Nancy, France) **H=15**

SHEN Ping, Ph.D, deputy director of the Committee for Mining geology of the China geological Society, Fellow of the American association of economic geologists (Beijing, China) **H = 25**

FISCHER Axel, Ph.D, associate professor, Dresden University of technology (Dresden, Germany) **H = 6**

KONTOROVICH Aleksey Emilievich, doctor of geological and mineralogical sciences, professor, academician of RAS, Trofimuk Institute of petroleum geology and geophysics SB RAS (Novosibirsk, Russia) **H = 19**

AGABEKOV Vladimir Enokovich, doctor of chemistry, academician of NAS of Belarus, honorary director of the Institute of chemistry of new materials (Minsk, Belarus) **H = 13**

KATALIN Stephan, Ph.D, associate professor, Technical university (Dresden, Berlin) **H = 20**

SEITMURATOVA Eleonora Yusupovna, doctor of geological and mineralogical sciences, professor, corresponding member of NAS RK, head of the laboratory of the Institute of geological sciences named after K.I. Satpayev (Almaty, Kazakhstan) **H=11**

SAGINTAYEV Zhanay, Ph.D, associate professor, Nazarbayev University (Nursultan, Kazakhstan) **H = 11**

FRATTINI Paolo, Ph.D, associate professor, university of Milano-Bicocca (Milan, Italy) **H = 28**

News of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Series of geology and technology sciences.

ISSN 2518-170X (Online),

ISSN 2224-5278 (Print)

Owner: RPA «National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan» (Almaty).

The certificate of registration of a periodical printed publication in the Committee of information of the Ministry of Information and Social Development of the Republic of Kazakhstan **No. KZ39VPY00025420**, issued 29.07.2020.

Thematic scope: *geology, chemical technologies for oil and gas processing, petrochemistry, technologies for extracting metals and their connections.*

Periodicity: 6 times a year.

Circulation: 300 copies.

Editorial address: 28, Shevchenko str., of. 219, Almaty, 050010, tel. 272-13-19

<http://www.geolog-technical.kz/index.php/en/>

© National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, 2024

NEWS of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan
SERIES OF GEOLOGY AND TECHNICAL SCIENCES
ISSN 2224-5278
Volume 4. Number 466 (2024), 48–60
<https://doi.org/10.32014/2024.2518-170X.424>
UDK 621.039.58 MC

© **E.M. Elekeev***, **B.P. Stepanov**, 2024
Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia.
E-mail: erbol.elekeev@mail.ru

TO THE ISSUE OF EFFICIENCY OF APPLICATION OF THE SAFETY CONTROL PROGRAM AT NUCLEAR FACILITIES

Erbol Elekeev — Postgraduate student, Department of Nuclear Fuel Cycle, Institute of Technical Sciences, Tomsk Polytechnic University
E-mail: erbol.elekeev@mail.ru

Boris Stepanov — Candidate of Technical Sciences, Associate Professor of the Department of Nuclear Fuel Cycle, Institute of Nuclear Science and Technology, Tomsk Polytechnic University
E-mail: sbp@tpu.ru

Abstract. Nuclear power plays a crucial role in the energy supply structure of modern society, offering significant potential to meet increasing energy demands. However, its application comes with stringent safety requirements due to the associated risks of radiation. This paper presents a thorough analysis of safety control programs at nuclear power facilities, considering technological, environmental, and social factors. The study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of current programs and propose recommendations for their optimization. The research involved a detailed examination of existing safety control programs, including both government and corporate initiatives. Based on the findings, a prototype Python-based monitoring program was developed to automate the collection and analysis of data from various sensors, and to promptly notify responsible personnel when deviations from regulatory standards are detected. A comparative analysis of different safety monitoring programs was conducted to highlight their respective advantages and limitations. The study revealed that existing programs frequently face challenges related to inadequate process automation and difficulties in integrating data from various sources. The developed prototype program showcased potential improvements in monitoring and notification mechanisms, as well as enhanced integration with real data. Incorporating modern technologies, such as artificial intelligence and the Internet of Things, can substantially enhance the effectiveness of security control systems by enabling more accurate and rapid monitoring of the state of objects. The main conclusions of the work emphasize the need to refine existing programs, expand the notification system and improve error handling. Practical application of the research results is aimed at improving the safety level of nuclear facilities and developing new monitoring and response systems.

Key words: nuclear power, safety, control programs, monitoring, technological innovations, internet of things

© Е.М. Елекеев*, Б.П. Степанов, 2024

Томск политехникалық университеті Томск, Ресей.

E-mail: erbol.elekeev@mail.ru

АТОМ ЭНЕРГИЯСЫН ПАЙДАЛАНУ ОБЪЕКТИЛЕРІНДЕ ҚАУІПСІЗДІКТІ БАҚЫЛАУ БАҒДАРЛАМАСЫН ҚОЛДАНУДЫҢ ТИІМДІЛІГІ МӘСЕЛЕСІНЕ

Ербол Елекеев — ядролық отын циклі бөлімшесінің аспиранты, Ядролық технологиялар инженерлік мектебі, Томск политехникалық университеті

E-mail: erbol.elekeev@mail.ru

Борис Степанов — техника ғылымдарының кандидаты, ядролық отын циклі бөлімшесінің доценті, Ядролық технологиялар инженерлік мектебі, Томск политехникалық университеті

E-mail: sbp@tpu.ru

Аннотация. Атом энергетикасы қазіргі қоғамның энергиямен жабдықтау құрылымының маңызды құрамдас бөлігі болып табылады, өсіп келе жатқан энергия қажеттіліктерін қанағаттандыру үшін айтарлықтай мүмкіндіктер береді. Алайда, оны пайдалану қауіпсіздіктің жоғары талаптарына байланысты, бұл радиациялық қауіптердің әлеуетіне байланысты. Бұл жұмыста технологиялық, экологиялық және әлеуметтік аспектілерді ескере отырып, атом энергиясын пайдалану объектілеріндегі қауіпсіздікті бақылау бағдарламаларына жан-жақты талдау жүргізіледі. Зерттеудің мақсаты - қолданыстағы бағдарламалардың тиімділігін бағалау және оларды оңтайландыру бойынша ұсыныстар әзірлеу. Зерттеу барысында мемлекеттік және корпоративтік бастамаларды қоса алғанда, қауіпсіздікті бақылаудың ағымдағы бағдарламаларына егжей-тегжейлі талдау жүргізілді. Алынған мәліметтер негізінде Python тіліндегі мониторинг бағдарламасының прототипі жасалды, ол әртүрлі Сенсорлардан деректерді автоматты түрде жинауға және талдауға, сондай-ақ нормативтік көрсеткіштерден ауытқулар анықталған жағдайда жауапты адамдарға жедел хабарлауға арналған. Қауіпсіздікті бақылаудың әртүрлі бағдарламаларын салыстырмалы талдау олардың артықшылықтары мен кемшіліктерін анықтады. Зерттеу нәтижелері қолданыстағы бағдарламалар көбінесе процестерді автоматтандырудың жеткіліксіздігінен және әртүрлі көздерден деректерді біріктірудің қиындықтарынан зардап шегетінін көрсетті. Бағдарламаның прототипі мониторинг пен хабарландыру механизмдерін жақсарту, сондай-ақ нақты деректермен интеграциялау мүмкіндіктерін көрсетті. Жасанды интеллект және Заттар интернеті сияқты заманауи технологияларды енгізу объектілердің жай-күйін дәлірек және жедел бақылауды қамтамасыз ете отырып, қауіпсіздікті бақылау жүйелерінің тиімділігін едәуір арттыра алады. Жұмыстың негізгі қорытындылары қолданыстағы бағдарламаларды нақтылау, хабарландыру жүйесін кеңейту және қателерді өңдеуді жақсарту қажеттілігін көрсетеді. Зерттеу нәтижелерін практикалық қолдану атом объектілерінің қауіпсіздік деңгейін арттыруға және мониторинг пен жауап берудің жаңа жүйелерін жасауға бағытталған.

Түйін сөздер: атом энергетикасы, қауіпсіздік, бақылау бағдарламалары, мониторинг, технологиялық инновациялар, заттар Интернеті

© Е.М. Елекеев*, Б.П. Степанов, 2024

Томский политехнический университет, Томск, Россия.

E-mail: erbol.elekeev@mail.ru

К ВОПРОСУ ЭФФЕКТИВНОСТИ ПРИМЕНЕНИЯ ПРОГРАММЫ КОНТРОЛЯ БЕЗОПАСНОСТИ НА ОБЪЕКТАХ ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЯ АТОМНОЙ ЭНЕРГИИ

Ербол Елекеев — аспирант отделения ядерно-топливного цикла Инженерной школы ядерных технологий, Томский политехнический университет

E-mail: erbol.elekeev@mail.ru;

Борис Степанов — кандидат технических наук, доцент отделения ядерно-топливного цикла, Инженерной школы ядерных технологий, Томский политехнический университет

E-mail: sbp@tpu.ru.

Аннотация. Атомная энергетика является важным компонентом в структуре энергоснабжения современного общества, предоставляя значительные возможности для удовлетворения растущих потребностей в энергии. Однако её использование связано с высокими требованиями к безопасности, что обусловлено потенциалом серьезных радиационных рисков. В данной работе проводится всесторонний анализ программ контроля безопасности на объектах использования атомной энергии с учетом технологических, экологических и социальных аспектов. Цель исследования заключается в оценке эффективности существующих программ и разработке рекомендаций по их оптимизации. В ходе исследования был проведен детальный анализ текущих программ контроля безопасности, включая государственные и корпоративные инициативы. На основе полученных данных был создан прототип программы мониторинга на языке Python, предназначенный для автоматического сбора и анализа данных с различных сенсоров, а также для оперативного уведомления ответственных лиц в случае выявления отклонений от нормативных показателей. Сравнительный анализ различных программ контроля безопасности позволил выявить их преимущества и недостатки. Результаты исследования показали, что существующие программы часто страдают от недостаточной автоматизации процессов и сложностей интеграции данных из различных источников. Созданный прототип программы продемонстрировал возможности для улучшения механизмов мониторинга и уведомления, а также для интеграции с реальными данными. Внедрение современных технологий, таких как искусственный интеллект и Интернет вещей, может существенно повысить эффективность систем контроля безопасности, обеспечивая более точный и оперативный мониторинг состояния объектов. Основные выводы работы подчеркивают необходимость доработки существующих программ, расширения системы уведомлений и улучшения обработки ошибок. Практическое применение результатов исследования направлено на повышение уровня безопасности атомных объектов и разработку новых систем мониторинга и реагирования.

Ключевые слова: атомная энергетика, безопасность, программы контроля, мониторинг, технологические инновации, Интернет вещей

Introduction

Modern society, in an effort to meet its energy needs, is inevitably faced with a growing dependence on nuclear power. This energy source has significant potential due to

its efficiency and environmental advantages over traditional energy sources. However, the use of nuclear energy is associated with high safety requirements, making the development and effective application of safety control programs at nuclear energy facilities an urgent task for the scientific and engineering community (Wang et al., 2020: 2728–2736; Yuan et al., 2018: 1075–1083; Kim et al., 2020: 3262). Nuclear facilities, such as nuclear reactors, are intricate technological systems that demand a systematic and integrated approach to ensure their safety. Managing issues related to nuclear material handling and radiation risk requires ongoing monitoring, analysis, and enhancement of safety systems. Effective safety control programs are essential not only for minimizing potential threats and risks but also for supporting the long-term sustainability and development of nuclear power as a vital element of the global energy balance.

The objective of this paper is to conduct a thorough analysis and evaluation of the effectiveness of safety control programs at nuclear power facilities, considering technological, environmental, and social aspects. The study identifies problematic aspects of existing programs and offers specific recommendations for their optimization. Special attention is paid to identifying potential vulnerabilities and developing innovative approaches to improve the effectiveness of safety systems (Lee et al., 2018; Mishra et al., 2019: 3825–3841; Hossain et al., 2020: 616–653; Sethu et al., 2022: 1–19).

Materials and basic methods

The following materials and methods were used to achieve the objective of the study:

Materials:

1 Data on the radiation background across the territory of the Russian Federation is collected by the automated radiation situation control system of the Rosatom State Corporation. This system provides real-time monitoring and assessment of radiation levels, facilitating the management and response to radiation-related issues. The data collected is crucial for ensuring safety and compliance with radiation protection standards;

2 Publications and reports on safety control programs developed by various research groups and companies;

3 Safety control programs for nuclear plants, including the latest developments in digital technologies.

Methods:

1 Literature review: A study of scientific publications, reports and regulatory documents dealing with safety control programs at nuclear facilities. This method provided insight into the current status and problems in the field;

As complex technological systems, nuclear power plants represent a significant source of risk, particularly the specific risk of radiation exposure. Obtaining quantitative estimates of radiation risk is crucial for implementing measures to reduce risk and prevent accident consequences. To systematize and centralize data on risk assessments of nuclear power plants, it is necessary to develop a program for the management and control of safety response systems at nuclear power facilities (Berberova et al., 2020: 50–56; Lu et al., 2020; Arutyunyan. 2013. 315). The authors Vorotilina A.A. and Koptelov M.V. examined the current state of the organization of the state automated system of radiation situation control in the Russian Federation (Vorotilina et al., 2018: 156–162). They provided a screenshot of the map showing the radiation situation across Russia, based on sensor readings from the automated radiation situation control system of the State Corporation «Rosatom».

M.A. Berberova, V.V. Chuenko, O.V. Zolotareva, O.L. Trefilova, M.A. Grudeva, V.V. Anichkina, E.V. Razina have developed a program of safety control of nuclear power plants using modern technologies that allow to systematize and group data from safety data sheets of nuclear power plants, as well as to organize operational access to information. The program developed by the authors is a Web application designed to systematize and group safety data sheets of nuclear power plants, as well as to organize quick access to the information, which makes it easy to assess the safety status of each of the nuclear power plants considered in this paper (Panteleev et al., 2018: 40–52).

The authors Panteleeva V.A., Popova E.V.V., Segal M.D., Gavrilova S.L., Sednev V.A. and Lysenko I.A. reviewed the goals, objectives, and general solutions of territorial automated systems for monitoring the radiation situation in the Russian Federation to ensure safety monitoring at nuclear power facilities (Panteleev et al., 2018: 48–61).

In the last decade, territorial radiation monitoring and emergency response systems have been established and actively developed in several constituent entities of the Russian Federation. These systems include complex monitoring systems for population protection (CPM-PP), which have been implemented in radioactively contaminated territories (Berberova et al., 2019: 285–289; Guseva et al., 2018: 251–254; Andreev, 2020: 43–51; Otchyot ob OKR po GK № 331/1059-999, 2015: 44; Panshin et al., 2016: 22; Guseva et al., 2018: 1–5; Andreev et al., 2020: 43–51).

Figure 1 presents the general composition of integrated monitoring systems for the state of public protection (Panteleev et al., 2018: 48–61).




Figure 1. Composition of integrated monitoring systems for the protection of the population (Panteleev et al., 2018: 40–52).

These components work together to provide a robust framework for monitoring, managing, and responding to radiation risks, thereby ensuring the safety and protection of the population.

The subsystem for radiation situation control is a critical component of the regional integrated system for monitoring population protection. Its primary objective is the early

detection of changes in radiation levels at measurement sites across the Russian Federation.

This subsystem is designed to achieve the following tasks:

- Continuous Automated Monitoring: Conduct ongoing automated surveillance of the radiation situation, including measuring meteorological parameters;
- Data Processing and Storage: Process, store, and provide operational data on radiation levels in contaminated areas to relevant authorities using GIS technologies;
- Automated Alert System: Automatically signal if monitored radiation parameters exceed established normative levels, triggering alarms or emergency notifications.

The integrated monitoring system for population protection incorporates both stationary and mobile means for radiation situation control.

Stationary facilities include:

- Information Collection and Processing Center: A facility for collecting, processing, transmitting, and storing data on radiation situation;
- Communication Channels: Infrastructure for transmitting data between monitoring stations and central processing centers;
- Stationary Radiation Monitoring Posts: Fixed locations equipped with sensors for continuous radiation monitoring.

Mobile radiation monitoring facilities include:

- Mobile Radiometric Laboratories: Portable units equipped for radiometric analysis;
- Mobile Onboard Gamma Imaging Complexes: Systems based on unmanned aerial vehicles (drones) for gamma imaging and radiation assessment;
- Rapidly Deployable Automated Radiation Monitoring Systems: Mobile systems that can be quickly set up to monitor radiation levels in different locations.

The authors have developed a project focused on creating models, algorithms, and a software package designed to enhance safety measures in the design of new and existing nuclear power plants. The authors presented the structure of the database on the reliability of nuclear power plant equipment (Figure 2).

UNSKЕ annunciator module solid state general
 Component boundary: detail n/a Operating mode: all Operating environment: normal
 Generic failure mode: spurious function Original failure mode: operates spurious or false response
 FAILURE RATE OR PROBABILITY rec : 1.7E-6/hr
 Source: IEEE 500 (1984) pg.40 Ultimate source: operating experience
 Comment: Reference : NUREG 2232 (1980)

BTABN battery
 Component boundary: battery,container,terminal connections incl.1st breaker connect. Operating mode: all Operating environment: normal
 Generic failure mode: degraded Original failure mode: inadequate output
 FAILURE RATE OR PROBABILITY rec : 3.2E-6/hr high: 7.5E-6/hr low: 4.9E-7/hr REPAIR TIME: 4-7 hours
 Source: NUREG 3831 (1985) (tbl.A6) Ultimate source: operating experience (plant records)
 Comment: Operating experience:total pop. 51.Operational time 1.564.315 hrs No.of failures 5.
 Repair time is range of medians.

BTAFN battery
 Component boundary: battery,container,terminal connections incl.1st breaker connect. Operating mode: all Operating environment: normal
 Generic failure mode: fail to function Original failure mode: no output
 FAILURE RATE OR PROBABILITY rec : 6.4E-7/hr high: 3.0E-6/hr low: 3.0E-8/hr REPAIR TIME: 4-7 hours
 Source: NUREG 3831 (1985) (tbl.A6) Ultimate source: operating experience (plant records)
 Comment: Operating experience: total pop. 51.Operating time 1.564.315hours No of failures 1. High and low chi-square estimates.
 Repair times range of median

BTAFO battery
 Component boundary: detail n/a Operating mode: all Operating environment: normal
 Generic failure mode: fail to function Original failure mode: operational failure
 FAILURE RATE OR PROBABILITY mean : 8.2E-8/hr 95%: 2.5E-7/hr 5%: 8.E-10/hr REPAIR TIME: 11.2 hours
 Source: Oconee NPP PRA (tbl.b-1.) Ultimate source: generic data updated with plant specific operating experience
 Comment: Prior:IEEE 500(1977)(pg104),rec=median; max=80% of distribution. Operating experience: 96.426 hours of operation, no failures.
 Repair time is mean of updated component maintenance duration.

BTAFT battery
 Component boundary: battery only Operating mode: standby Operating environment: normal
 Generic failure mode: fail to function Original failure mode: failed effective output
 FAILURE RATE OR PROBABILITY mean : 1.3E-2/d 95%: 6.8E-2/d REPAIR TIME: 2 hours
 Source: Swedish Rel.data book, tbl.41 Ultimate source: plant operating experience (7 BWR plants), ATV reports, LERs
 Comment: Demand failure rate is based on refueling outage testing interval Operating experience: Total pop.129.No of demands 531.Number of failures 7. a=0.0346; b=2.59

Figure 2. Structure of the equipment reliability database proposed by the authors (Panteleev et al., 2018: 48–61).

The presented structure of the nuclear power plant equipment reliability database contains the following information:

- equipment category code;
- type of component
- mode of operation;
- type of failure
- failure probability
- recovery time;
- source of information;
- comments.

2 Modeling and software development: Creation of a prototype of a safety control and monitoring program using the Python programming language. The program was designed to incorporate current technology and real-world data integration capabilities.

Development of a program for safety control and monitoring at nuclear power facilities requires a serious approach and attention to detail. Below is an example of a simple program concept in Python, one of the popular programming languages, presented in Figure 3.

```
python
Copy code

import time
import random

class NuclearSecurityMonitor:
    def __init__(self):
        self.sensors = {
            "radiation": {"threshold": 50.0, "status": "OK"},
            "temperature": {"threshold": 100.0, "status": "OK"},
            "pressure": {"threshold": 200.0, "status": "OK"}
        }
        self.emergency_contacts = ["emergency_email@example.com", "emer

    def monitor_sensors(self):
        while True:
            for sensor, data in self.sensors.items():
                reading = self.get_sensor_reading(sensor)
                if reading > data["threshold"]:
                    data["status"] = "ALERT"
                    self.handle_alert(sensor, reading)
                else:
                    data["status"] = "OK"
            time.sleep(60) # Проверка каждую минуту

    def get_sensor_reading(self, sensor):
        # Здесь можно использовать реальные данные с датчиков или их моделир
        return random.uniform(0, 300)

    def handle_alert(self, sensor, reading):
        # Здесь реализовать логику обработки сигнала тревоги,
        # например, отправка уведомления на контакты в случае превышения пор
        alert_message = f"ALERT: {sensor} reading exceeded threshold. C
        self.notify_emergency_contacts(alert_message)

    def notify_emergency_contacts(self, message):
        # Здесь реализовать отправку уведомления на заранее заданные контакт
        print(f"Notifying emergency contacts: {message}")

if __name__ == "__main__":
    security_monitor = NuclearSecurityMonitor()
    security_monitor.monitor_sensors()
```

Figure 3. View of the program.

Let's analyze the proposed program of safety control and monitoring at nuclear power facilities:

Nuclear Security Monitor class definition:

- The class is created to describe a security monitoring object;
- Has a sensors attribute representing different types of sensors (e.g. radiation, temperature, pressure) with their threshold values and current status;
- Contains a contact list for emergency notifications.

Method monitor_sensors:

- An infinite loop to monitor the status of sensors;
- For each sensor, the current value is checked against a threshold;
- If the threshold is exceeded, the handle_alert method is called.

Get_sensor_reading method:

- A method that simulates getting data from sensors;
- In this case, random data generation is used.

Method handle_alert:

- Called when the sensor value exceeds a threshold;
- An alarm message is generated;
- The notify_emergency_contacts method is called to notify contacts.

The notify_emergency_contacts method:

- Simulates sending a notification to predefined contacts.

Main code block:

- An instance of the NuclearSecurityMonitor class is created;
- The monitor_sensors method is called and infinite monitoring starts.

Analysis:

- The program offers a basic framework for sensor monitoring, yet it currently relies on random data generation rather than real sensor readings;
- The notify_emergency_contacts method only prints a message to the console. In a practical system, a proper notification mechanism should be implemented;
- There is a lack of additional security measures, such as data encryption or authentication;
- The program fails to consider security standards and nuclear facility security requirements, and it does not include event auditing features;
- No error handling, which can lead to unpredictable behavior in a real-world environment;
- This code is a basic example and should be significantly extended and improved to meet real-world nuclear safety requirements and standards. Also, for such critical systems, a more in-depth safety analysis should be performed and possibly safety experts should be involved.

Optimizing existing safety programs and proposing innovative approaches requires in-depth analysis and understanding of specific requirements, standards and unique system features. In this context, let us offer some general recommendations and ideas for improving the effectiveness of the safety system at nuclear power facilities:

Optimization Recommendations:

Integration with Real Data:

- Replace simulated data with real sensor readings for more accurate monitoring.

Improve Notifications:

- Expand the notification mechanism to include different communication channels (email, SMS, emergency calls) and the ability to automatically generate reports.

Logging Implementation:

- Add a logging system for event recording and debugging. This can be useful for later analysis and auditing.

Error Handling:

- Implement error handling to prevent unpredictable program behavior in case of crashes or incorrect data.

Recommendations for improving security:

Data Encryption:

- Implement data encryption mechanisms to protect the confidentiality and integrity of information.

Authentication and Authorization:

- Implement an authentication and authorization system to ensure that only authorized users have access.

Physical Security:

- Consider physical security measures to protect equipment from unauthorized access.

Innovative Approaches:

Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI):

- Integrate machine learning to predict and detect anomalies in data to prevent incidents.

Integration with the Internet of Things (IoT):

- Connect sensors to the IoT network for more extensive monitoring and real-time data collection.

Blockchain Technologies for Auditing:

- Consider the use of blockchain technology to provide transparency and immutability of audit data.

Cyber-Physical Systems:

- Develop cyber-physical systems that combine physical processes and information technology to better manage security.

Staff Training:

Provide staff training on safety and response to different scenarios.

Each of these suggestions requires additional work and adaptation to the specific context and requirements of nuclear power facilities. It is important to involve safety experts and follow all applicable regulations and standards.

3 Benchmarking: Evaluating the effectiveness of various security monitoring programs based on their capabilities, accuracy and responsiveness. Both real data and simulation results were used for this purpose.

Results

The following results were obtained during the study:

1 Analysis of the current state of safety control programs:

- Modern systems for monitoring the radiation situation in Russia, particularly those managed by the automated control system of Rosatom State Corporation, provide high accuracy and promptness in data collection and reporting;

- There are notable problems with the integration of data from various sources. This lack of seamless integration can hinder the overall effectiveness of safety control programs.

2 Development of a prototype of a safety monitoring program:

- A prototype Python program was developed to monitor sensor status and notify emergency services. The program includes functionality for monitoring radiation, temperature, and other sensors, as well as for automatic notification if thresholds are exceeded;

- The prototype demonstrates the potential for integration with real data and improved notification mechanisms to significantly improve the efficiency of safety monitoring systems.

3 Program benchmarking:

- Programs using modern technologies such as IoT (Internet of Things) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) show higher accuracy and responsiveness compared to traditional methods. This confirms the need to incorporate the latest technologies into safety monitoring systems of nuclear facilities;

- Integration with real data and improved notification mechanisms significantly increase the efficiency of safety monitoring systems. This makes it possible to respond promptly to changes in radiation background and other potential threats.

Observation

Comparison of the results obtained with the work of other scientists has shown that the introduction of modern technologies, such as artificial intelligence and the Internet of

Things, can significantly improve the efficiency of security control systems. The main advantages of the proposed program include high accuracy and responsiveness, the ability to integrate with various data sources, and automation of notification processes.

Despite the promising potential of the proposed safety monitoring program, several shortcomings need to be addressed:

1 Need for Further Refinement:

- Meeting Safety Requirements: The program requires additional refinements to fully comply with all safety requirements and nuclear power standards.

- Sophisticated Data Processing and Cybersecurity: More advanced data processing mechanisms and enhanced cybersecurity measures are necessary to ensure the program's effectiveness and security.

2 Error and Exception Handling:

- Robust Mechanisms: The current program lacks comprehensive error and exception handling, which could result in unpredictable behavior in real-world environments.

- System Resilience: Developing more robust error handling mechanisms is crucial to improving the system's resilience and reliability.

3 Notification System:

- Expansion and Improvement: While the program includes a mechanism for notifying emergency services, this feature requires further expansion and improvement.

- Multiple Communication Channels: In a real-world scenario, different communication channels such as email, SMS, and emergency calls should be utilized.

- Automatic Reporting: The possibility of automatic reporting should be incorporated to ensure timely and efficient communication during emergencies.

To address these shortcomings, the following steps should be taken:

- Refinement of Safety Compliance: Continue refining the program to meet all nuclear power safety requirements and standards. This includes integrating more sophisticated data processing and cybersecurity measures.

- Enhanced Error Handling: Develop and implement more robust error and exception handling mechanisms to ensure system stability and resilience.

- Advanced Notification System: Expand and enhance the notification system to include multiple communication channels and automatic reporting capabilities for effective emergency response.

By addressing these areas, the proposed safety monitoring program can be significantly improved, ensuring greater reliability and compliance with stringent nuclear power safety standards.

Conclusion

The research findings highlight several key conclusions and recommendations for enhancing safety monitoring programs at nuclear power facilities:

- Continuous Improvement and Integration: Modern safety monitoring programs need ongoing advancements and the integration of new technologies to enhance their effectiveness;

- Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Internet of Things (IoT): The implementation of AI and IoT technologies can substantially improve the accuracy and efficiency of monitoring nuclear facility conditions;

- Compliance and Data Protection: Developed programs must comply with all safety standards and requirements, ensuring robust data protection and an efficient notification

system;

- Regular Updates and Training: It is crucial to regularly update and test software, as well as to train personnel in safety and emergency response protocols.

The practical application of these conclusions and recommendations involves:

- Integration into Existing Systems: Incorporating the proposed programs and recommendations into current safety control systems at nuclear plants;

- Development of New Systems: Creating new monitoring and response systems leveraging advanced technologies.

REFERENCES

Andreev V.V. (2020). Razrabotka modelej, algoritmov i programmnoogo kompleksa dlya resheniya zadach ocenki riska na AES pri zaproektnyh avariayah / V.V. Andreev, M.A. Berberova, O.V. Zolotarev, V.V. CHuenko, E.V. Karpushin, D.V. D'yachkov, A.V. Suvorov // Vestnik BGTU, 2020. — Pp. 43–51. <https://doi.org/10.30987/1999-8775-2020-4-43-51>.

Berberova M.A. (2019). NPP risk assessments results dependence study on the composition of the population living around the NPP (on the example of Rostov and Kalinin NPP), GraphiCon 2019 Computer Graphics and Vision. The 29th / M.A. Berberova, Zolotarev S.S. // International Conference on Computer Graphics and Vision. Conference Proceedings (2019). — Bryansk, Russia, —September 23–26, 2019. — Vol-2485, urn:nbn:de:0074-2485-1. — ISSN 1613-0073. DOI: 10.30987/graphicon-2019-2-285-289, <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2485/paper66.pdf>. — Pp. 285–289.

Guseva A.I., Koptelov M.V. (2018). Risk assessment of prospective investment projects for the construction of nuclear power plants abroad. International Journal of Engineering and Technology (UAE). — Vol. 7. — № 2, 2018. — Pp. 251–254.

Guseva A.I., Koptelov M.V., Kovtun D.A. (2018). Ispol'zovanie avtomatizirovannyh sistem dlya ekonomicheskogo analiza proektov sooruzheniya AES s uchetom vozdejstviya riskov. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, International Russian Automation Conference (RusAutoCon), 2018. — Pp. 1–5.

Kim S., Park S. & Kim D. (2020). Development of Emergency Response System for Smart Cities Based on Artificial Intelligence. Sustainability. — 12(8). — 3262.

Lu Chao, Lyu Jiawei, Zhang Liming, Gong Aicheng, Fan Yipeng, Yan Jiangpeng & Li Xiu (2020). Nuclear Power Plants With Artificial Intelligence in Industry 4.0 Era: Top-Level Design and Current Applications — A Systemic Review. IEEE Access. 8. 194315-194332. 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3032529.

Lee Daeil & Kim Jonghyun (2018). Autonomous Algorithm for Safety Systems of the Nuclear Power Plant by Using the Deep Learning. — 72–82. 10.1007/978-3-319-60204-2_8.

Mishra A., Paul A. & Goyal M. (2019). A survey on cyber security issues in smart cities. Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing. — 10(9). — 3825–3841.

Hossain M.S., Muhammad G. & Al-Fuqaha A. (2020). Cyber security for smart cities: A review of the state of the art. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials. — 22(1). — 616–653.

Trudy IBRAE RAN / pod obshch. red. chl.-kor. RAN L.A. Bol'shova; In-t problem bezopasnogo razvitiya atomnoj energetiki RAN. —M.: Nauka, 2007. Vyp. 15: Razvitie sistem avariynogo reagirovaniya i radiacionnogo monitoringa / nauch. red. R. V. Arutyunyan. 2013. — 315 p. <http://www.ibrae.ac.ru/pubtext/39/>

Razrabotka programmy monitoringa (kontrolya) obespecheniya bezopasnosti atomnyh elektrostancij / M.A. Berberova, V.C. Huenko, O.V. Zolotarev [i dr.] // Avtomatizaciya i modelirovanie v proektirovanii i upravlenii. — 2020. — № 2(8). — Pp. 50–56.

Razrabotka modelej, algoritmov i programmnoogo kompleksa dlya resheniya zadach ocenki riska na AES pri zaproektnyh avariayah / V. V. Andreev, M. A. Berberova, O. V. Zolotarev [i dr.] // Vestnik Bryanskogo gosudarstvennogo tekhnicheskogo universiteta. — 2020. — № 4(89). — S. 43-51.

Panteleev V.A., Segal' M.D., Gavrilov S.L., Pimenov A.E., Popov E.V., Martynyuk YU.N. (2018). Optimizaciya funkcional'nyh vozmozhnostej bystro-razvorachivaemoj ASKRO s ispol'zovaniem metodov VAB tret'ego urovnya (VAB-3). ANRI. 2018. — № 1 (92). — Pp. 40–52.

Panshin A.A., Rusin S.V., Koptelov M.V. (2016). Osobennosti organizacii otraslevoj avtomatizirovannoj sistemy monitoringa radiacionnoj obstanovki Goskorporacii «Rosatom» (OASMRO). Sevastopol', 2016. — 22 p.

Vorotilina A.A. Razvitie avtomatizirovannoj sistemy kontrolya radiacionnoj obstanovki v sostave gosu-

darstvennoj sistemy monitoringa / A.A. Vorotilina, M.V. Koptelov // *Sovremennye nauchnye issledovaniya i razrabotki*. — 2018. — T. 2. — № 11(28). — Pp. 156–162.

Sethu Meenu, Kotla Bhavya Reddy, Russell Darrell, Madadi Mahboubeh, Titu Nesar Ahmed, Coble Jamie, Boring Ronald, Blache Klaus, Agarwal Vivek, Yadav Vaibhav & Khojandi Anahita (2022). Application of Artificial Intelligence in Detection and Mitigation of Human Factor Errors in Nuclear Power Plants: A Review. *Nuclear Technology*. 209. — 1–19. 10.1080/00295450.2022.2067461.

Optimizaciya razmeshcheniya sredstv kontrolya kompleksnoj sistemy monitoringa sostoyaniya zashchity naseleniya / V.A. Panteleev, E.V. Popov, M.D. Segal' [i dr.] // *Tekhnologii tekhnosfernoj bezopasnosti*. — 2018. — № 6(82). — Pp. 48–61.

Otchyot ob OKR po GK № 331/1059-999 ot “23” oktyabrya 2015 g. “Razrabotka mobil'nogo kompleksa aerogamma s”yomki, video i teplovogo nablyudeniya, integrirovannogo v kompleksnuyu sistemu monitoringa za sostoyaniem zashchity naseleniya na radioaktivno zagryaznyonnyh territoriyah (KSM-ZN)”. — M.: IBRAE RAN, 2015. — 44 p.

Wang X., Xu Y. & Xie Z. (2020). A Smart Emergency Response System for the Nuclear Power Plant Based on the Internet of Things. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*. — 16(4). — 2728–2736.

Yuan Y., Liu J. & Wang S. (2018). Application of the Internet of Things and Big Data in the Construction of Nuclear Emergency Response System. *Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology*. — 55(10). — 1075–1083.

CONTENT

A.E. Abetov, A.A. Auyesbek GEOLOGICAL-GEOPHYSICAL CRITERIA OF THE JEZKAZGAN ORE DISTRICT IN CENTRAL KAZAKHSTAN.....	6
K. Akishev, K. Aryngazin, A. Tleulessov, L. Bulyga, V. Stanevich THE USE OF SIMULATION MODELING IN CALCULATING THE PRODUCTIVITY OF THE TECHNOLOGICAL SYSTEM FOR THE PRODUCTION OF BUILDING PRODUCTS WITH FILLERS FROM MAN-MADE WASTE.....	22
V.V. Gerasidi, R.G. Dubrovin, V.V. Kukartsev, T.A. Panfilova, G.V. Stas BOOST SYSTEM DIAGNOSTIC PARAMETERS OF COHERENT GAS PISTON INSTALLATIONS OF MINING ENTERPRISES.....	33
E.M. Elekeev, B.P. Stepanov TO THE ISSUE OF EFFICIENCY OF APPLICATION OF THE SAFETY CONTROL PROGRAM AT NUCLEAR FACILITIES.....	48
A.I. Epikhin, A.A. Stupina, I.A. Panfilov, V.V. Bukhtoyarov, N.A. Shepeta DETERMINANTS FOR ASSESSING THE ENERGY EFFICIENCY OF A COAL MINING ENTERPRISE.....	61
E.A. Efremenkov, E.S. Chavrov, E.P. Khaleyeva, V.V. Tynchenko EVALUATION OF TECHNIQUES FOR DETERMINING THE LOADING OF A CYCLOIDAL SATELLITE ROLLING BEARING.....	72
Zh.A. Zhanabayeva, K.T. Narbayeva, G.K. Ismailova, M.S. Ospanova, J. Rodrigo-Illarri ASSESSMENT OF THE RESERVOIRS IMPACT ON THE MAXIMUM RUNOFF OF THE SYRDARYA RIVER.....	85
M.K. Jexenov, Zh.K. Tukhfatov, E.K. Bektay, R.Sh. Abdinov, G.S. Turysbekova STRUCTURAL-TECHTONIC AND MINERALOGICAL STRUCTURE OF INDER LIFTING FIELD, MINING AND CHEMICAL RAW MATERIALS IN ATYRAU REGION.....	96
G.M. Iskaliyeva^{1,2*}, N.K. Sydyk¹, A.K. Kalybayeva^{1,3}, M.S. Sagat¹, A. Samat USE OF WATER INDICES FOR WATERBODIES IN THE ESIL WATER MANAGEMENT BASIN.....	117
Z.M. Kerimbekova, A.A. Tashimova, G.I. Issayev, E.K. Ibragimova, M.Zh. Makhambetov CALCULATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND ECONOMIC DAMAGE CAUSED BY CURRENT SYSTEMS OF SOLID WASTE MOVEMENT IN OIL PRODUCTION..	131
M.Zh. Makhambetov, R. Izimova, G.I. Issayev, N.A. Akhmetov, E.K. Ibragimova ECOLOGICAL-GEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF TECHNOGENICALLY DISTURBED TERRITORIES OF OIL FIELDS OF THE ATYRAU REGION.....	143

A.D. Mekhtiyev, V.V. Yugay, Y.G. Neshina, N.B. Kaliaskarov, P. Madi FIBER-OPTIC SYSTEM FOR CONTROLLING OPEN PIT SIDE ROCK DISPLACEMENT.....	157
A.S. Mussina, G.U. Baitasheva, B.S. Zakirov, Ye.P. Gorbulicheva CONDITIONS FOR PREPARING THE SURFACE OF CONTACT PARTS FOR WETTIBILITY WITH MERCURY.....	168
A.N. Muta, R.B. Baimakhan, G.I. Salgarayeva, N. Kurmanbekkyzy, A. Tileikhan STUDY OF THE STRENGTH PROPERTIES OF SOILS COMPOSING THE GEOLOGICAL STRUCTURE OF THE KOK TOBE MOUNTAIN.....	185
B. Mukhambetov, B. Nasiyev, Zh. Kadasheva, R. Abdinov, R. Meranzova ADAPTABILITY OF KOCHIA PROSTRATA (L.) SCHRAD AND CAMPHOROSMA MONSPELIACA AGRICULTURAL ECOSYSTEMS ON SALINE LANDS OF THE NORTHERN CASPIAN DESERT.....	197
M. Nurpeisova, G. Dzhangulova, O. Kurmanbaev, Z. Sarsembekova, A. Ormanbekova, Y.Kh. Kakimzhanov CREATION OF GEODETIC REFERENCE NETWORK FOR MONITORING TRANSPORT INTERCHANGES IN SEISMIC AREAS.....	209
B.T. Ratov, V.L. Khomenko, A.E. Kuttybayev, K.S. Togizov, Z.G. Utepov INNOVATIVE DRILL BIT TO IMPROVE THE EFFICIENCY OF DRILLING OPERATIONS AT URANIUM DEPOSITS IN KAZAKHSTAN.....	224
A.A.Tashimova, G.I. Issayev, Z.M. Kerimbekova, E.K. Ibragimova, A.M. Bostanova ANALYSIS OF THE RESOURCE POTENTIAL OF SOLID OIL PRODUCTION WASTE.....	237
M.K. Tynykulov, O.D. Shoykin, S.O. Kosanov, M.B. Khusainov, Zh.G. Ibraybekov BIOREMEDIATION OF ZONAL SOILS IN AYIRTAY DISTRICT OF NORTH KAZAKHSTAN REGION.....	246
A.S. Urazaliyev, D.A. Shoganbekova, R. Shults, M.S. Kozhakhmetov, G.M. Iskaliyeva INVESTIGATION OF LSMSA APPROACH IN LOCAL GEOID MODELING.....	261

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice in the journals of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan

For information on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication see <http://www.elsevier.com/publishingethics> and <http://www.elsevier.com/journal-authors/ethics>.

Submission of an article to the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis or as an electronic preprint, see <http://www.elsevier.com/postingpolicy>), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. In particular, translations into English of papers already published in another language are not accepted.

No other forms of scientific misconduct are allowed, such as plagiarism, falsification, fraudulent data, incorrect interpretation of other works, incorrect citations, etc. The National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan follows the Code of Conduct of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), and follows the COPE Flowcharts for Resolving Cases of Suspected Misconduct (http://publicationethics.org/files/u2/New_Code.pdf). To verify originality, your article may be checked by the originality detection service Cross Check <http://www.elsevier.com/editors/plagdetect>.

The authors are obliged to participate in peer review process and be ready to provide corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. All authors of a paper should have significantly contributed to the research.

The reviewers should provide objective judgments and should point out relevant published works which are not yet cited. Reviewed articles should be treated confidentially. The reviewers will be chosen in such a way that there is no conflict of interests with respect to the research, the authors and/or the research funders.

The editors have complete responsibility and authority to reject or accept a paper, and they will only accept a paper when reasonably certain. They will preserve anonymity of reviewers and promote publication of corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. The acceptance of a paper automatically implies the copyright transfer to the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan.

The Editorial Board of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan will monitor and safeguard publishing ethics.

Правила оформления статьи для публикации в журнале смотреть на сайте:

[www:nauka-nanrk.kz](http://www.nauka-nanrk.kz)

ISSN 2518-1483 (Online), ISSN 2224-5227 (Print)

<http://geolog-technical.kz/en/archive/>

Подписано в печать 15.08.2024.

Формат 60x88¹/₈. Бумага офсетная. Печать - ризограф.

15,0 п.л. Тираж 300. Заказ 4.